# **Combining Single Dish & Interferometer Data**



--- Tapasi Ghosh, with many thanks to Natalie Butterfield and Snezana Stanimirovich

SDSS-2021







### **Imaging SD vs Interferometer Data**



"Single Dish data" <= extrapolated from to 3mm from 500-micron Herschel data (33" beam) using a "greybody" spectrum. Dust continuum and molecular line emission in a particular region of the CMZ







# The fundamental idea behind Interferometry :

There exists a Fourier Transform relation between the sky brightness distribution, I and the response of a radio interferometer.



If the distance between two antennas (the baseline) is **d**, then the so-called visibility function,  $V(\mathbf{d})$ , is given by:

$$V(\mathbf{d}) = \int_{\text{source}} A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) I(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \exp\left[-2\pi i \ \mathbf{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}/\lambda\right] d\Omega \ . \tag{1}$$

Here,  $A(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$  is an antenna reception pattern, or **primary beam**, and  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  is the vector difference between a given celestial position and the central position of the field of view. The **aperture synthesis technique** is a method of solving Equation 1 for  $I(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$  by measuring V at suitable values of **d**.









$$V(u,v) = \int \int A(l,m)I(l,m) \exp[-2\pi i(ul+vm)] \frac{dldm}{\sqrt{1-l^2-m^2}} .$$
 (2)

Therefore, the visibility function V(u, v) can be expressed as the Fourier transform of a modified brightness distribution A(l,m)I(l,m). Coordinates u and v(w = 0) are measured in units of wavelength and the u - v plane is called **the spatial frequency domain**. These are effectively projections of a terrestrial baseline onto a plane perpendicular to the source direction. The l - m plane is referred to as **the image domain**.







Hence, if V(u, v) is a true (ideal) visibility function, the measured (observed) visibilities  $(V'_{int})$  can be expressed as:

$$V'_{\rm int}(u,v) = V(u,v)b_{\rm int}(u,v) .$$
(3)

 $b_{\text{int}}$  is usually representable by a set of  $\delta$ -functions, between the lowest and the highest spatial frequency sampled by the interferometer (corresponding to the shortest and the longest baselines, respectively). The Fourier transform of Equation 3 gives the observed sky brightness distribution  $I_{\text{int}}^{\text{D}}$  (so called 'dirty' image):

$$I_{\rm int}^{\rm D}(l,m) = I(l,m) * B_{\rm int}(l',m') , \qquad (4)$$

where  $B_{\text{int}}$  is **the synthesized or 'dirty' beam**, which is the point source response of the interferometer. As usually, asterisks (\*) are used to denote convolution. When imaging, incomplete u - v coverage leads to severe artifacts.











For imaging larger objects, with angular sizes >  $\lambda / d_{min}$ , mosaic technique can help filling the u-v coverage, but the central ( $d_{min} - D/2$ ) region can not be filled. This is often referred to as the "short-spacing problem".

























#### Single Dish as an Interferometer

A Single Dish can be thought of to be a collection of many small panels, acting as interferometer elements with their signals being combined at the focus.



The observed sky brightness distribution  $I_{\rm sd}^{\rm D}$  in the case of single-dish observations is then given by:

$$I_{\rm sd}^{\rm D}(l,m) = I(l,m) * B_{\rm sd}(l',m'),$$
(5)

with  $B_{\rm sd}$  being the **single-dish beam** pattern. The Fourier transform of Equation 5 gives the observed single-dish 'visibilities',  $V'_{\rm sd}$ :

$$V'_{\rm sd}(u,v) = V(u,v) \times b_{\rm sd}(u,v) \tag{6}$$

where  $b_{\rm sd}$  is the Fourier transform of the single-dish beam pattern which, unlike  $b_{\rm int}$ , is a continuous function between zero and the highest spatial frequency sampled by the single-dish. Determination of I from  $I_{\rm sd}^{\rm D}$  requires deconvolution,







• Before adding single dish data in any manner ,one needs a relative calibration factor  $f_{cal} = \frac{S_{int}}{S_{sd}}$ by which the single

dish data should be multiplied



- If the calibration is perfect
- If  $D_{sd} > b_{min}$  one can compare the fluxes in the overlap region to determine  $I_{int}$









#### Method 1:

Adding the SD & Intf. Data in the UV plane.

(a) FT each images
(b) Add –with Scaling
Factor
(c) Inverse FT to the image plane









#### Method 2:

Adding the SD & Interferometer data in the Image plane.

(a) Add the images with
Scaing Factor
(b) Add the beams
(c) Deconvolve
combined dirty beam
From the combined
dirty image

























## **Measuring Visibilities**









Mathod 1 : Feathering in CASA

- Combination of SD+IF data is 'feathering'
  - Fill in short UV-spacing information
- To maximize flux recovery and image quality you want a single dish size of D>1.5x B<sub>min</sub>
  - For the GBT: VLA arrays D & C; ALMA arrays C43-1 C43-7
  - For Arecibo: VLA array D, C, & B
- CASA task: 'Feather'
- Valid Flux Measurements
  - Need single-dish data to get valid flux measurements









# Valid Flux Measurements

#### Missing flux from large scale structures can effect measurements!

The Astrophysical Journal, 805:72 (25pp), 2015 May 20





Continuum Regions												
		Measured Flux (mJy) <sup>a</sup>						Spectral Index				
	Area	Cont.	24.1	25.4	27.5	36.4	90.0 <sup>b</sup>	90.0 <sup>e</sup>	(24–90 GHz)		$\log N_{1.yc}$	
	(sq'')	Level	(GHz)	(GHz)	(GHz)	(GHz)	(GHz)	(GHz)	Uncorrectedb	Corrected <sup>c</sup>	$(\text{phot } \text{s}^{-1})$	
C1	35.7	$10\sigma$	$4.6 \pm 0.1$	$4.6 \pm 0.2$	$4.2 \pm 0.2$	$2.6 \pm 0.1$	$3.1 \pm 0.1$	$6.6 \pm 0.3$	$-0.29 \pm 0.01$	$0.27\pm0.03$	46.5	
C2	279.1	$6\sigma$	$18.8\pm0.1$	$18.7\pm0.1$	$15.6 \pm 0.1$	$8.2 \pm 0.2$	$10.5 \pm 0.1$	$27.3\pm0.3$	$-0.43 \pm 0.01$	$0.28\pm0.03$	47.2	
C3	27.6	$10\sigma$	$2.3 \pm 0.1$	$2.4 \pm 0.1$	$2.1 \pm 0.1$	$2.6 \pm 0.2$	$5.9 \pm 0.1$	$11.3 \pm 0.6$	$0.68 \pm 0.01$	$1.17\pm0.05$	45.9	
C4	14.8	$6\sigma$	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$1.9 \pm 0.1$	$4.2\pm0.5$	$0.52\pm0.09$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	45.9	
C5	16.1	$10\sigma$	$6.3 \pm 0.1$	$5.6 \pm 0.2$	$4.0 \pm 0.1$	NA	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	$1.9 \pm 0.4$	$-1.31 \pm 0.03$	$-0.86\pm0.05$		
C6	81.6	$6\sigma$	$4.5 \pm 0.1$	$4.2 \pm 0.1$	$5.5 \pm 0.1$	$6.1 \pm 0.1$	$11.8 \pm 0.1$	$26.6\pm0.5$	$0.74 \pm 0.07$	$1.34\pm0.09$	46.5	
C7	161.9	$6\sigma$	$10.7 \pm 0.1$	$8.4 \pm 0.2$	$10.1 \pm 0.2$	$5.9 \pm 0.2$	$8.3 \pm 0.1$	$28.4\pm0.4$	$-0.1 \pm 0.15$	$0.8\pm0.18$	46.9	
C8	164.2	$6\sigma$	$8.4 \pm 0.1$	$5.7 \pm 0.1$	$6.3 \pm 0.1$	$2.8 \pm 0.1$	$6.4 \pm 0.1$	$32.3\pm0.5$	$-0.1 \pm 0.17$	$1.1\pm0.14$	46.8	
C9	521.8	$6\sigma$	$43.4\pm0.1$	$34.1 \pm 0.2$	$37.7 \pm 0.2$	$35.8 \pm 0.2$	$24.4 \pm 0.3$	$80.9\pm0.3$	$-0.3 \pm 0.25$	$0.6 \pm 0.3$	47.5	
C10	7.7	$10\sigma$	$1.6 \pm 0.1$	$1.6\pm0.2$	NA	NA	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	$-0.73 \pm 0.03$	$-0.35\pm0.01$		

Table 3

<sup>a</sup> "NA" indicates this region was outside or near the edge of the field of view.

<sup>b</sup> Values from 3 mm ALMA-only image of Rathborne et al. (2014b).

<sup>c</sup> Values from single-dish-corrected ALMA image of Rathborne et al. (2014b).

Mills et al. (2015)







## **Example of Feathering**

EVLA NH₃ (multi-scale CLEANed)









#### Summary

- Interferometers can produce higher resolution images than Single Dish telescopes, but are not sensitive to emission on size scales greater than the largest angular scale defined by its shortest baseline.
- The characteristic signs of missing extended flux-density in an image are negative bowls surrounding the main emission region.
- Single dish and <u>interferometer</u> data can be combined to get high resolution images that are also sensitive to diffuse emission.
- Several techniques to combine data are possible :
  - Image domain
  - Fourier domain ("Feathering")
  - During deconvolution
  - <u>GBT</u> can be used with <u>JVLA-C</u> and -D configurations & with ALMA
- Feathering is the default image combination method in <u>CASA</u>







# "Give Me Back My Short Spacings!"







