NATIONAL RADIO ASTRONOMY OBSERVATORY CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA

ELECTRONICS DIVISION INTERNAL REPORT No. 202

LOW-NOISE COOLED GASFET AMPLIFIERS

Sander Weinreb

April 1980

NUMBER OF COPIES: 150

Low-Noise Cooled GASFET Amplifiers

S. Weinreb*

Abstract - Measurements of the noise characteristics of a variety of gallium-arsenide field-effect transistors at a frequency of 5 GHz and temperatures of 300°K to 20°K are presented. For one transistor type detailed measurements of the DC parameters, small-signal parameters, and all noise parameters (T_{min} , R_{opt} , X_{opt} , g_n) are made over this temperature range. The results are compared with the theory of Pucel, Haus, and Statz modified to include the temperature variation. Several low-noise amplifiers are described including one with a noise temperature of 20°K over a 500 MHz bandwidth. A theoretical analysis of the thermal conduction at cryogenic temperatures in a typical packaged transistor is included.

* National Radio Astronomy Observatory, operated by Associated Universities, Inc., under contract to National Science Foundation.

LOW-NOISE COOLED-GASFET AMPLIFIERS

S. Weinreb

I. INTRODUCTION

The present state-of-the-art for microwave low-noise amplifiers is shown in Fig. 1. The gallium-arsenide field-effect transistor (GASFET) amplifier does not yet achieve the noise temperature of the very best parametric amplifiers but is equal to or better than many paramps manufactured 10 years ago. In addition, the GASFET has higher stability and lower cost because of two inherent advantages: 1) It is much less critical to circuit impedance than a negative resistance amplifier such as a paramp. 2) It is powered by DC whereas the paramp requires a power oscillator and tuned circuits at several times the frequency of operation.

There are systems, particularly those requiring large-area antennas such as radio astronomy or space communications, where no present device operating at room temperature has sufficiently low noise. This is shown clearly in Fig. 1 where, in the 0.5 to 20 GHz range, the 300°K paramp performance is typically an order of magnitude greater than the natural noise limits of galactic, cosmic, and atmospheric noise. The lowestnoise, highest-cost solution is a maser or parametric up-converter-intomaser system operating at 4°K. Intermediate in cost and performance are paramps and GASFETS cooled to 20°K by closed-cycle helium refrigerators which are now available [10] at a cost of under \$5,000 and a weight less than 45 kilograms.

- 1 -



Fig. 1 - Noise figure, 10 log F, and noise temperature, $T_n = 290^{\circ}$ (F-1), vs. frequency for various 1980 state-of-the-art low-noise devices. The 300°K bipolar transistor, FET, and paramp values are taken from manufacturers data sheets [1, 2, 3], the 20°K FET curve is from the data of this paper plus data of others [4, 5, 6] at 0.6, 1.4, and 12 GHz, respectively. The 20°K paramp, 4°K maser (including parametric up-converter at lower frequencies), and 20°K mixer results are from systems in use at National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO). The natural noise limitations due to galactic noise, the cosmic background radiation, and atmospheric noise are for optimum conditions and are taken from [9] plus points at 22 GHz and 100 GHz measured at NRAO.

Several reports of the noise temperature of cryogenically cooled GASFET amplifiers have been made [4 - 8] but, for the most part, these are for one specific device, do not determine the four noise parameters which characterize the noise of a linear two-port (Ref. [4] is an exception to this), do not report the device DC and small-signal parameters as a function of temperature, and do not attempt to correlate the results with theory. An attempt will be made to do the above in this paper and to present some information regarding the following questions:

1) Considering the DC power dissipated and thermal resistance problems, what is the actual physical temperature of the FET channel? What is the lowest physical temperature which can be achieved in the channel?

2) What is the noise improvement factor for cooling of presently available low-noise GASFET's? Are one manufacturer's devices superior for some fortuitous reason?

3) Can the present room-temperature GASFET noise theory be applied at cryogenic temperatures?

4) Can a GASFET be specifically designed for best performance at cryogenic temperatures?

5) Is there any difference in the circuit design for a cryogenic amplifier?

- 3 -

II. THERMAL RESISTANCE

The room-temperature thermal resistance of a typical low-noise GASFET is specified on manufacturers' data sheets and is of the order of 100°K/W for a chip and 200°K/W for a packaged device. These values produce a heating of 5 or 10°K for a typical low-noise DC power dissipation of 50mW and do not significantly effect the room-temperature performance. However, at cryogenic temperatures the situation may be drastically different because the thermal conductivity of most materials changes by orders of magnitude; pure metals and crystalline substances become better thermal conductors while alloys and disordered dielectrics become worse.

An analysis of the heat flow in a typical 1.75 mm-square packaged GASFET sketched in Fig. 2 has been performed using the thermal resistance equations of Cooke [11]with material thermal conductivities published in various references (GaAs [12], alumina and gold [13], Kovar and iron alloys [14]). The results are summarized in Table I which also gives the material conductivities used in the calculations.

At temperatures down to 20°K the total thermal resistance decreases substantially for the configuration of Fig. 2. The heat flow media shifts in the substrate from alumina to gold metallization (assumed 5µm thick). It is thus important that the gold metallization and plating be thick, pure, and free of voids. A case designed for cryogenic operation should have pure silver or copper source leads and a sapphire or crystalline quartz substrate.

It is important that the chip be solder-bonded to a metallized substrate with the metallization continuing to the source leads. This is not the case in all commercially available devices. A calculation of a

- 4 -



Figure 2 - Typical GASFET chip in 1.75mm square package with top cover removed; all dimensions are in μ m. Heat flow is from the FET channel spreading through the GaAs chip into the gold-metallized alumina substrate and out the source leads. An equivalent electrical circuit is also shown with thermal resistance values in Table I. Some manufacturers do not connect the source metallization to the chip.

			TABLE I			
THERMAL	RESISTANCE	OF	PACKAGED	GASFET	IN	°K/WATT AND
(IN PARENT	THESIS MATE	RIAI	L CONDUCT	VITIES	IN	WATTS/°K CM)

Component		1					
(Dimensions		Temperature					
in µm)	R	300°	77°	20°	4°		
1	D	120	12	13	840		
(0.7 x 400)	R c	(0.44)	(4.4)	(4.1)	(.06)		
Alumina							
Substrate	D	55	13	85	3900		
$250 \times (1.750)^2$	Ra	(0.35)	(1.5)	(0.23)	(.005)		
Gold							
Metalization	D	335	285	62	45		
500 x (500 x5)	R m	(3)	(3.5)	(16)	(22)		
Total							
$R_{c} + R_{a}//R_{m}$	R _t	169	24	49	885		
Kovar in							
Source Leads	D	76	156	625	4170		
250 x (100 x 1000)	R K	(.165)	(.08)	(.02)	(.003)		
Gold Plate on							
Source Leads	R	76	65	14	10		
250 x 2.5 x 2200	R _P	(3)	(3.5)	(16)	(22)		
Total Including							
Source Leads							
$R_t + R_K / / R_p$	R _T	207	89	63	895		
Add for Epoxy			100	330			
Bond of Chip		50	(.01)	(.003)	1000		
25 x (500 x 500)	-	(.02)	EST	EST	(.001)		

solder-joint thermal resistance shows it to be negligible even at 4°K. However, a silver-loaded epoxy joint of 25µm thickness would add 300°K/watt at 20°K [16].

It is also important that the total heat path from chip, thru package to amplifier case, and on to cooling station be carefully considered; this often conflicts with the desired microwave design. A chip GASFET soldered to a high-purity copper amplifier case is an excellent solution to thermal problems above 20°K but is not a necessity; a packaged device can be used.

At 4°K the thermal problem within the GaAs chip is quite severe due to boundary scattering of phonons [17, p. 149] which produces a thermal resistance increasing as T^{-3} for temperatures below 20°K. A channel with 20 to 50 mW of power dissipation will stabilize at a temperature of \sim 15°K even if the chip boundaries are at 4°K; hence little is gained compared to 20°K cooling. The value of the chip thermal resistance at 4°K given in Table I is only a rough approximation as the problem becomes complex. The thermal conductivity is no longer a point property of the material; the heat conduction is by accoustical waves and wave transmission and reflection at boundaries must be considered. However, an effective thermal conductivity dependent upon the object size can be defined (see Calloway [15]) and has been used in Table I with the size parameter set equal to a gate length of $0.7\mu m$. It should be noted that the chip thermal resistance would not be significantly reduced by emersion in normal liquid helium which has insufficient thermal conductivity for the area and heat flux involved (Though, super-fluid helium at a temperature below 2.2°K would be effective).

There is a possibility that a detailed study of the heat conduction mechanism from the channel at 20°K would show increased heating due to the

small size effects discussed above which are certainly present at 4°K. Experimental evidence against this, however, is the fact that for most devices evaluated the amplifier noise temperature variation with DC bias power dissipation is small at cryogenic temperatures and similar to the variation at room temperature.

A different conclusion regarding self-heating at cryogenic temperatures was reached by Sesnic and Craig [56] who predict large self-heating for the 4°K to 77°K temperature range due to poor conductivity of the Kovar source leads. This paper did not consider the strong effects of plating on the source leads or the boundary-scattering increase in chip thermal conductivity. These erroneous results were applied by Brunet-Brunol [57] who then attributed the lack of change of GASFET electrical characteristics below 77°K to self-heating.

III. DC CHARACTERISTICS VS. TEMPERATURE

The DC characteristics of a GASFET can be analyzed to determine parameters such as transconductance and input resistance which enter directly into the noise temperature equation and also device fabrication parameters such as channel thickness, a, and carrier density, N, which effect the noise temperature in a more complex manner. In addition by measuring the variation of DC parameters with temperature, the variation of material parameters such as mobility, μ , and saturation velocity, $v_{\rm S}$, can be determined; these also enter into the noise theory.

The curves of drain current vs. drain voltage at steps of gate voltage for three different manufactures of GASFET's at 300°K and 23°K are shown in Figure 3. In general, there is only a mild change in the characteristics of all devices tested with most changes occurring between 300°K and 80°K. The most dominant effects are an increase in transconductance, saturation current, and drain conductance.

A more detailed analysis of a sample device, the Mitsubishi MGF 1412, will be performed using, with some modification, the methods of Fukui [18]. Microwave noise measurements of the identical device are described in the next section. The total channel width, Z, was measured with a microscope and found to be 400µm in two 200µm stripes and the gate length, L, has a published [34] value of 0.7µm (the gate length has only a minor effect on the quantities evaluated in this section, but is important for the noise analysis). All DC data were measured at five temperatures utilizing 0.1% accuracy digital meters. The results are given in Table II and discussed below.

- 8 -



Fig. 3 - Drain current, 20mA per vertical division, vs. drain voltage, 0.5 volt per horizontal division, for 0.2 volt steps of gate voltage for 3 manufacturers of GASFET's at 300°K and 20°K. The top curve in each photograph is at 0 volts gate voltage.

A. Forward-Biased Gate Characteristics

The forward-biased gate junction was first evaluated to find the barrier potential, $V_{\rm p}$, Schottky ideality factor, n, and gate-plus-source resistance, $R_{o} + R_{s}$. This was performed by measuring the gate-to-source voltage, V_{gs} , for forward gate currents of 0.1µA to 10mA in decade steps, all with drain current, $I_d = 0$. The results were fitted to a normal Schottky-barrier current-voltage characteristic with $V_{\rm B}$ replaced by $V_{\rm B}/n$ as suggested by Hackam and Harrop [19]. The resulting values for $V_{\rm R}$ show little variation with temperature, in agreement with the results of others [19, 20]. The n factor increases by a large amount as temperature decreases; this is due to tunneling through the narrow, forward-biased depletion layer [21, 22] and does not effect the reverse diode characteristics and normal FET operation. When tunneling is present the n factor will vary slightly with current (i.e., the I-V characteristic is not exponential) if the doping density is not uniform. This limits the accuracy of the determination of n and hence, of V_{B} and $R_{g} + R_{s}$. The n values given in Table II are for the 1 to $10\mu A$ range.

The value of $R_g + R_s$ is determined from the $I_g - V_{gs}$ measurements in the 0.1 to 20mA range. At these currents the voltage drop across $R_g + R_s$ becomes significant compared to the exponential ideal diode characteristic, and hence $R_g + R_s$ can be determined. However, R_g at these currents is non-linear due to the distributed gate metallization resistance. A distributed ladder network of resistors and diodes must be considered. At high currents the potential drop across the metallization resistance produces a reverse bias which results in less current through the diodes at the end of the ladder away from the gate connection point; the effective

- 10 -

<u>an an airteach</u>			1 and the second se		
Quant	300°K	228°K	<u>151°к</u>	81°K	21°K
v _B	.796	.811	.834	.810	.782
n	1.125	1.216	1.47	2.0	7.2
Rg	2.66	1.7	1.5	1.4	1.2
Rs	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.2
R d	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.4
R _t	8.8	8.8	9.3	11	10-14
v _p	1.226	1.151	1.156	1.172	1.159
I _o	67.8	74.3	79.1	84.7	86.2
I _s	255	279	297	318	324
s <mark>'</mark>	39	44	47	50	55
^g m	43	49	52	56	62
v _s /v _{so}	1.0	1.13	1.18	1.27	1.32
g _m /g _{mo}	1.0	1.14	1.21	1.30	1.44

TABLE II DC PARAMETERS VS. TEMPERATURE FOR THE MITSUBISHI MGF 1412 GASFET

value of R_g decreases with current. This situation is described by a non-linear differential equation which has been solved [54] and the results have been used to find the constant, low-current value of $R_g + R_s$. The solution will be described in a separate publication. The smallsignal value of $R_g + R_s$ at 10mA bias current was also measured at 100 KHz and 10 MHz. Values equal to the DC slope-resistance were obtained, thus assuring that thermal errors are not present in the DC measurement.

To separate R_g and R_s and also determine the drain series resistance, R_d , the gate-to-source voltage, V_{gsd} , with drain connected to source was measured along with the gate-to-drain voltage, V_{gd} , with the source open; both of these values are at a gate current of 10mA. By differencing these quantities with V_{gs} , also measured at $I_g = 10ma$, R_s and R_d are obtained as

$$R_{s} = \Delta R_{1} + \sqrt{(\Delta R_{1})^{2} + \Delta R_{1} \cdot \Delta R_{2}}$$
(1)

$$R_{d} = \Delta R_{1} + R_{s}$$
 (2)

where $\Delta R_1 = (V_{gs} - V_{gsd})/.01$ and $\Delta R_2 = (V_{gd} - V_{gs})/.01$. Note that since only voltage differences are measured the values can be highly accurate and do not depend on removing the exponential portion of the $I_g - V_g$ characteristic, as is the case with the determination of $R_g + R_s$, and therefore, R_g .

The values of R_s and R_d show little variation with temperature. This is to be expected, since for a highly doped semiconductor, both the carrier density and mobility show little variation with temperature even at temperatures as low as 2°K [23, 24]. The mobility is limited by impurity scattering and carriers do not "freeze out" because the impurity band overlaps the conduction band. The gate resistance, R_g , decreases from 2.7 ohms at 300°K to 1.2 ohms at 21°K since it is primarily due to the resistivity of aluminum which decreases by a large amount dependent upon its purity. The theoretical resistance of the gate metallization, using the formula of Wolf [25] is 1.7 ohms at 300°K and <.001 ohms at 21°K indicating that \sim 1 ohm is due to impurities or semiconductor resistance.

B. Drain Voltage-Current Characteristic

A second check on mobility variation is obtained by measurements in the linear region (i.e., no velocity saturation) of the $I_d - V_d$ characteristic. The drain current, I_d , was measured for $V_{gs} = 0$ and $V_d = 50$ or 100mV. $R_t = V_d/I_d$ is reported in Table I and shows somewhat more variation with temperature than R_s or R_d (which are contained in R_t). In particular the value at 21°K is dependent upon past history; it is lower by \sim 30% after forward biasing the gate junction. This has not been explained. No such "memory" effect is observed in the device at normal bias levels.

The saturated drain current, I_o , at $V_{gs} = 0$ and $V_d = 3$ volts was measured along with the gate voltage, $-V_p$, to bring the drain current down to 2mA; V_p is a good approximation to the pinch-off voltage of the device. These quantities, measured at 300°K, can be used to determine the doping density, N, and channel thickness, a, of the device through the well established relations

$$v_{\rm p} + v_{\rm B} = \frac{q {\rm Na}^2}{2 \kappa \epsilon_{\rm o}}$$
(3)

$$I_{s} = q_{v_{s}} NaZ$$
(4)

where q = 1.6 x 10^{-19} C, ε_0 = 8.85 x 10^{-14} F/m, κ = 12.5 for GaAs, Z = .4mm, and the saturated velocity, v_s, is assumed to be 1.4 x 10^7 cm/sec. The quantit

- 13 -

 I_s , is the open-channel saturation current and is related to I_o [18] by

$$I_{s} = I_{o} / \gamma$$
 (5)

where

$$\gamma = 1 + \sigma - \sqrt{\delta + 2\sigma + \sigma^2}$$
 (6)

$$\delta = (V_{B} + 0.234L) / (V_{B} + V_{p})$$
(7)

$$\sigma = .0155 R_z Z/a \tag{8}$$

and a and L are in μ m and Z is in mm. The channel thickness, a, is not initially known for use in σ but since it is a moderately small correction factor, an initial estimate can be used and later iterated. Solving (3) and (4) for a and N gives a = 0.10 μ m and N = 2.9 x 10¹⁷/cm³, in good agreement with information supplied by the manufacturer [26].

The measured insensitivity to temperature of $V_p + V_B$ verifies through (3) that N is not a function of temperature. The temperature dependence of I_s must then be due to a change in saturation velocity, v_s; its value relative to the 300°K value is given in Table II. The results are in general agreement with the increase in saturation velocity measured by Ruch and Kino [27] in the 340°K to 140°K range.

Finally, the transconductance, g'_m , was measured by taking 50mV increments in V_g above and below the low-noise bias point of $V_d = 5$ volts, $I_d = 10mA$. This must be corrected for effects of source resistance to give the true transconductance, $g_m = g'_m/(1 - g'_m R_s)$; both g'_m and g_m are given in Table II in units of mmhos. The values are approximately 35% lower than those given by the approximate theoretical expression,

$$g_{m} = \frac{I_{s}}{2(V_{p} + V_{B})} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 - I_{d}/I_{s})}$$
(9)

and the exact theoretical curve given in Fig. 12C of Pucel, et. al [28] (which is a little closer). Fukui had a similar problem in the analysis of his data (see [18], p. 787). The increase in measured transconductance with decreasing temperature follows the saturation velocity increase determined from measurements of I_o , V_p , and V_B .

IV. MICROWAVE PERFORMANCE VS. TEMPERATURE

A. Gain and Noise Measurement Procedure

A block diagram of the test configuration used for measurements of gain and noise temperature of cooled amplifiers is shown in Figure 4. Auxilliary equipment such as a network analyzer, reflectometer, and spectrum analyzer were used for impedance, return loss, and spurious oscillation measurements. At a later stage of the work an HP 9845 calculator and HP 346 avalanche noise source were incorporated to allow a swept frequency plot of 50 noise temperature and gain measurements to be performed in 20 seconds; a typical output from this automated system is shown in Fig. 9. The amplifiers under test were cooled by a commercially available [29] closed-cycle refrigerator with a capacity of 3 watts at 20°K and a cool-down time of 5 hours.

A large error in the noise temperature measurement of a mismatched amplifier can result if the source impedance changes when switching from hot load to cold load. For this reason an isolator is used between the loads and amplifier input. The total loss from the 77°K liquid-nitrogen cooled load to the amplifier was accurately measured and corrected for. This included 0.62dB in the coaxial switch, isolator, and SMA hermetic vacuum feed-thru; 0.11dB in a stainless steel outer-beryllium copper inner-conductor coaxial line transition from 300°K to 20°K; and .07dB in 10cm of internal coaxial line at 20°K.

For the automated measurements an HP 346 calibrated avalanche diode was used in cascade with a modified HP 8493A coaxial attenuator. No isolator is necessary. The attenuator is modified for cryogenic use [30]

- 16 -



Fig. 4 - Test set-up for noise and gain measurements of cooled amplifiers. The automated measurement procedure gives a swept-frequency output of noise-temperature (corrected for second-stage contribution) and gain; this replaced the hot load-cold load manual method after corroboration of results was established.

by replacing the conductive-rubber internal contacts by bellows contacts [31], drilling a vacuum vent hole in the body, and tapping the body for mounting a temperature sensor [32]. The change in attenuation of the modified 20dB attenuator upon cooling from 300°K to 77°K is a decrease of $.05 \pm .03$ dB; it is assumed that negligible change will occur upon further cooling to 20°K as this is typical for most resistance alloys. The attenuator may be purchased calibrated from Hewlett Packard (Option 890); however, the contact modification necessitates a recalibration (attenuation at 300°K decreased by .05dB) and was performed with a digital power meter. For an amplifier with 30°K noise temperature the cooling of the attenuator from 300° K to 20° K provides a factor of (300 + 30)/(20 + 30) = 6 decrease in error of the amplifier noise temperature measurement due to noise source or attenuator errors. For this case a noise temperature error of $\pm 2.3^{\circ}$ results from an uncertainty of noise source excess noise plus attenuator error of ± 0.2 dB. The agreement between amplifier noise measurements made with the hot/cold loads and the HP 346 noise source was within $\pm 2^{\circ}$ K for cooled amplifiers and $\pm 5^{\circ}$ K for uncooled amplifiers.

In order to determine the optimum source resistance and load resistance as a function of temperature, a test amplifier having variable impedance quarter-wave transformers at input and output was constructed and is shown in Fig. 5. A schematic of this amplifier and of all other amplifiers described in this paper is shown in Fig. 6. The variable impedance line consists of a slab transmission line having cross-section as shown in Fig. 7 and a movable ground plane realized as a threaded slug with a diameter of $\lambda/4$. The slug is slotted and has a thread-tightening

- 18 -



Fig. 5 - Test amplifier with adjustable source and load resistance. The spacing between the $\lambda/4 = 15.9$ mm long rectangular slab transmission-line and ground plane is adjusted by turning the circular threaded slug. DC blocking capacitors are realized by teflon coating the SMA connector center pin where it enters the slab. The slab transmission line is supported by the coaxial connector, a chip capaci-

tor, and a teflon bushing held by a nylon screw. The transistor is mounted by its source leads on a 6.3mm diameter threaded copper slug with center 5.7 mm from the end of each $\lambda/4$ line.



Fig. 6 - Schematic of all amplifiers discussed in this paper. The bias decoupling inductance, L₃, is realized by a $\lambda/4$, Z₀ = 100 ohm, line in the test amplifier; in other amplifiers it is a small coil described in the text.



Fig. 7 - Characteristic impedance, Z_0 , and source resistance, $R = Z_0^2/50$, as a function of spacing, X, from threaded-slug ground plane to $\lambda/4$ slab transmission line having cross-section shown in figure.



Figure 8 - Noise temperature vs. physical temperature for several manufacturers of GASFET's. Only one or two samples of the HP, Dexcel, Alpha, and Plessey devices were evaluated, and units from another batch may give better cryogenic performance.

screw to assure ground contact and to lock the position. Calibration of the characteristic impedance vs. slug position was performed by utilization of a miniature coaxial probe inserted in place of the FET and connected to a network analyzer; results are given in Fig. 7. The loss of the $\lambda/4$ line was calculated to be less than .025dB at Z₀ = 22 ohms using the microstrip loss formulas of Schneider [33].

B. Results

As a first step, the noise temperature of several commercially available GASFETS was measured at a frequency of \sim 4.5 GHz from 300°K to 20°K; results are shown in Fig. 8. At each temperature bias and source resistance were optimized for minimum noise; the changes were not large. For all units the peak gain was \sim 12dB at 300°K and increased by 1 or 2dB at 20°K. The measurement frequency was chosen for minimum noise at 300°K and no change in the optimum frequency was noted as temperature decreased.

On the basis of these tests and because of its high burnout level [34], the Mitsubishi device was selected for detailed study and for use in the construction of amplifiers needed for use in radio astronomy. A selected version of the MGF 1402, the MGF 1412, became available and was used for further tests; it has lower noise temperature ($\sim 100^{\circ}$ K) at 5 GHz and 300°K, but has a noise temperature at 20°K close to that of the MGF 1402. Of approximately 15 samples of the MGF 1412 tested at 20°K, the noise temperature was between 15°K and 27°K.

The gain and noise temperature of a MGF 1412 at four temperatures between 300°K and 14°K is shown in Fig. 9; DC parameters for the same device are given in Table II. As the amplifier is cooled, the gain

- 21 -



Fig. 9 - Noise-temperature and gain vs. frequency for the Mitsubishi MGF 1412 transistor at several physical temperatures. This is a direct copy of the on-line output of an automated measuring system utilizing an Hewlett-Packard 9845 computer. The results are first plotted on a CRT and then can be copied on a thermal printer. Tabulated below the plot are noise source off temperature, noise source on temperature, average amplifier noise temperature between markers at 4.4 and 4.9 GHz, lowest noise and its frequency, and lowest and highest gain between marker frequencies. increases by 2.0dB. This is less than the 3.0dB increase of g_m because of internal negative feedback (which stabilizes the gain against g_m changes) and possibly because of a reduction in parallel output resistance. As the noise temperature decreases, the bandwidth for a given increase in noise temperature increases, but there is very little other change in frequency response of either gain or noise temperature.

A complete comparison of noise theory and experiment requires the measurement of four noise parameters of the device. There are many sets of four parameters which can be compared. The set which is most directly measured is the minimum noise temperature, T_{min} , the optimum source impedance, $R_{opt} + jX_{opt}$, and the noise conductance, g_n . These relate to the measured data in Fig. 10 of noise temperature, T_n , as a function of source impedance, R + jX, by,

$$T_n = T_{min} + T_o \cdot g_n \cdot \frac{[(R - R_{opt})^2 + (X - X_{opt})^2]}{R}$$
 (10)

where $T_0 = 290^{\circ}K^1$.

The values of R, R_{opt} , X, X_{opt} and g_n are dependent upon the choice of reference plane between device and source but T_n and T_{min} are not for a lossless coupling circuit. Values for two reference planes defined in Fig. 11 are presented in Table III. The first and fifth columns are for a reference plane at the gate-lead case interface of the packaged device; the second and sixth columns are for the GASFET chip and thus the effects

¹ In this paper $T_0 = 290$ °K independent of ambient temperature which will be denoted as t·T₀. Several papers in this field normalize quantities which are not functions of temperature to ambient temperature (using the symbol T_0), producing a normalized quantity which is a function of temperature and confusing the reader.

T'ou-135 A	T 4900.	Tay-149.8	3000.5.10,-1.077,35,100
Tlow=113 ∃	T 4850,	Tav=124.2	50,100
7106= 94 A	IT 4750,	Tav≖ 98.9	100,100
Tlou-114 A	T 4200,	Tav-115.2	200,100



Fig. 10 - Noise temperature vs. frequency for various source resistances and Mitsubishi MGF 1412 GASFET at 300°K (top) and 12°K (bottom, note change in temperature scale). Device bias was $V_{ds} = 5$, $I_{d} = 10$ mA at both temperatures.

of case capacitance and gate bonding wire inductance have been removed. These "chip" columns should be compared with the theoretical columns which will be discussed in V.

C. Impedance Measurements

The noise theory to be discussed in the next section expresses the four noise parameters in terms of material parameters, DC bias values, device dimensions, and the equivalent circuit elements R_m , R_i , R_s , g_m , and C_{gs} , shown in Fig. 11. The values of $R_m + R_i = R_g$, R_s , and g_m , all measured at DC, are given in Table II. The capacitance, $C_{gs} + C_{gd}$, was measured at 1-MHz as a function of V_{gs} with a precision capacitance bridge [35]; a value of 0.75pF was obtained at the low-noise bias of $V_{gs} = -1.1$ volts. This includes case capacitance, which was then removed by measuring a defective device with the gate bonding wire lifted off the chip; a value of 0.25pF was obtained for the case capacitance.

The above DC resistances and 1-MHz capacitances, together with a skin effect correction to R_m and an estimate of R_i , will be used in the comparison of theoretical and measured noise parameters. Attempts were made to determine $R_m + R_i$ and C_{gs} by microwave S-parameter measurements, to be described next, but the results were variable and more confidence is placed in the low frequency measurements. The skin-depth of aluminum at 5 GHz is 1.2µm which should be compared with the gate metallization thickness of 0.7µm. The DC value of R_g is 2.7 ohms and its value at 5 GHz is estimated to be 5 ohms; this estimate is partially influenced by the S-parameter results which gave 4.3 to 12.7 ohms. The gate-charging resistance, R_i , is estimated to be 1 ohm by noting that R_s and R_d have values

of 2.3 and 2.1 ohms and R_i occurs over a length somewhat shorter than R_s and R_d . It should be noted that R_i is of second order importance to the noise results but the fact that R_m is determined by subtracting R_i from the measured R_g is of somewhat greater importance. The final value of R_m is 4 \pm 2 ohms and this error value limits the accuracy of comparison of noise theory and experiment.

The S-parameters of a packaged device were measured from 2 to 18 GHz on computer-corrected automatic network-analyzers at two organizations [36, 37] with different test fixtures and calibration procedures; a third set of S-parameters is reported on the MGF 1402 data sheet. The input capacitance at 2 GHz determined from each of the three S-parameter sets was within .06pF of the 1-MHz capacitance measurement. However, above 8 GHz the measured S-parameters diverge, probably due to reference plane definition problems. The COMPACT program [54] was used to optimize circuit elements to give a minimum weighted mean-square error between circuit and measured S-parameters in the 2 to 10 GHz range. Some of the elements determined in this way have large variability dependent upon the data set used and details of the fitting procedure. As a relevant example, the value of R_{σ} changed from 12.7 ohms to 7.8 ohms dependent upon whether R was fixed at 2.3 ohms or allowed to vary in the optimization procedure (which gave $R_s = 1.0$ ohm). Using S-parameters measured with a different analyzer gave $R_g = 6.7$ and 5.4 for R_s fixed and variable, respectively.

The reasons for the variability of the R_g value are its small resistance relative to the reactance of C_{gs} and also the strong dependence of the input resistance upon the small feedback elements C_{gd} , L_s , and R_s .

Our conclusion is that it is very difficult to determine R_g from Sparameter measurements at normal bias values; a careful measurement of S_{11} with $V_{ds} = 0$ (and hence $g_m = 0$ and no feedback effects) may be more successful.



ELEMENT VALUES IN nH, pF, OHMS, MHOS, AND ps.

$L_{g} = 0.4 \pm .2$	$L_{s} = .009 \pm .004$	$L_{d} = 0.2 \pm .1$
$C_{gs} = 0.5 \pm .1$	$C_{gd} = .031 \pm .006$	$C_{ds} = .35 \pm .08$
$R_{m} = 4 \pm 2$	$R_{s} = 2.3 \pm 1$	$R_d = 3 \pm 1$
$R_{i} = 1 \pm 0.5$	$g_{\rm m} = .044 \pm .004$	$R_{dp} = 630 \pm 200$
	$\tau = 5 \pm 1.2$	

Figure 11 - Equivalent circuit of amplifier input circuit and Mitsubishi MGF 1412 transistor. The element values are based upon DC resistance measurements, 1-MHz capacitance measurements, and three sets of S-parameters measured in different test fixtures. The resistance, R_i , cannot be separated from R_m by these measurements since the reactance of C_{gd} is so high; the value of R_i is an estimate. The error values are conservative estimates based upon analysis of all the data.

V. NOISE THEORY

A. Summary of Present GASFET Noise Theory

A comprehensive paper covering the history, DC and small-signal properties, and an exhaustive but not conclusive treatment of noise in microwave GASFET's was written in 1975 by Pucel, Haus, and Statz [28]. The noise treatment includes the induced gate noise mechanism of Van der Ziel [38], the hot-electron effects introduced by Bachtold [39], and presents as new, the mechanism of high-field diffusion noise due to dipole layers drifting through the saturated-velocity portion of the channel. This latter mechanism along with the thermal noise of parasitic resistances, R_m and R_s , in the input circuit was found to be the dominant source of noise in modern, short-gate-length GASFETs at room temperature.

The above paper predicts the correct dependence of noise upon drain current and, by adjustment of a material parameter, D, which describes the diffusion noise but is not accurately known from other theory or experiments, a good fit to measured 4 GHz data is presented. However, the theory does not agree with experimental data at the low end of the microwave spectrum (<3 GHz) where a linear dependence of noise temperature upon frequency is predicted but not observed. It was suggested that this noise may be due to traps at the channel-substrate interface but devices with a buffer layer such as the NEC 244 also show the excess low-frequency noise [40]. The temperature dependence of the low microwave frequency noise also does not agree with the trap assumption [41]. A recent work by Graffeuil [42] attributes the low frequency noise to frequency dependence of hot-electron noise and also matches experimental data without invoking the high field diffusion noise which is dominant to the Pucel et al. theory.

The four noise parameters T_{min} , R_{opt} , X_{opt} , and g_n define the noise properties of any linear two-port and all other noise parameters can be derived from them. Other noise parameters which appear in GASFET noise theory are the noise resistances, R_n and r_n , and correlation impedance, $R_c + jX_c$. Here, R_n is proportional to the total mean square noise voltage in series with the device input, and r_n is proportional to the portion of this noise voltage which is uncorrelated with the current noise represented by g_n . (It should be remarked that the symbol R_n is used to represent a noise voltage source that is not in series with the input but is called the "input noise resistance" in some papers [38, 41].) The following relations between these quantities can be easily derived using the definitions given by Rothe and Dahlke [43],

$$R_n = g_n |Z_{opt}|^2$$
(11)

$$R_{c} = \frac{T_{min}}{T_{o}} \frac{1}{2g_{n}} - R_{opt}$$
(12)

$$X_{c} = -X_{opt}$$
(13)

$$r_n = g_n (R_{opt}^2 - R_c^2)$$
 (14)

$$r_{n} = \frac{T_{min}}{T_{o}} \left(R_{opt} - \frac{T_{min}}{T_{o}} \frac{1}{4g_{n}} \right)$$
(15)

The experimental results of the previous section will be compared with the Pucel <u>et al</u>. theory and also with the empirical equations of Fukui which are based upon fitting noise data to Bell Telephone Laboratory GASFETs at 1.8 GHz [44]. The theoretical results for the four noise parameters, T_{min} , R_{opt} , X_{opt} , and g_n , which are most directly measureable are expressed in terms of intermediate parameters, r_n and R_c , as follows [28]:

$$g_n = K_g / g_m X_{gs}^2$$
(16)

$$r_n = t(R_m + R_s) + K_r/g_m$$
 (17)

$$R_{c} = R_{m} + R_{s} + K_{c}R_{i}$$
(18)

$$R_{opt} = (R_c^2 + r_n/g_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (19)

$$X_{opt} = K_c |X_{gs}|$$
 (20)

$$T_{\min} = 2T_0 g_n (R_c + R_{opt})$$
(21)

where t is the ratio of device physical temperature to 290° K, $X_{gs} < 0$ is the reactance of the gate-to-source capacitance, and the three K's are noise coefficients which are given in [28] as functions of bias, device dimensions, and material parameters. K_g is proportional to the squared magnitude of the equivalent current source in the input circuit and represents the induced gate circuit noise current as well as the correlated ^{*} current needed to represent noise in the drain circuit. The uncorrelated noise resistance, r_p , contains a first term due to thermal

^{*} The words correlated and uncorrelated can refer to correlation between voltage and current sources in a Rothe-Dalke noise representation or to correlation between gate current noise and drain current noise; an asterisk (*) will be used when the latter is meant.

noise in $R_m + R_s$ (and hence proportional to t as suggested in [28]) and a second term which, through K_r is a measure of the noise voltage necessary to represent uncorrelated drain current noise.

It is important to note that the K noise coefficients are independent of frequency except for the possible frequency dependence of material parameters (high field diffusion coefficient, D, saturated velocity, v_s , hot-electron noise coefficient, δ , and mobility, μ). The noise coefficients are convenient for describing the measured noise performance of a device but three other coefficients P, R, and C are more directly related to the noise generation mechanisms. The mean-square drain current noise is proportional to P and the mean-square gate current noise is proportional to R; the correlation * coefficient between these two is C. The relations between the coefficients are given in [28] and are repeated below in an algebraically simplified form:

$$K_{g} = R + P - 2C \sqrt{RP}$$
 (23)

$$K_{g}K_{c} = P - C\sqrt{RP}$$
 (24)

$$K_{g}K_{r} = RP (1 - C^{2})$$
 (25)

B. Comparison of Experimental Results With Theory

The experimental results and theory are compared in Tables III and IV. The theoretical values are obtained using the measured values of g_m and I_s from Table II and R_i , R_m , R_s , and C_{gs} from Fig. 11 (rather than values which could have been computed from device dimensions, and material parameters). The device channel thickness, $a = 0.10\mu m$, and

ວາ

TABLE III

Symbol	Expt Pkg 300°K	Expt Chip 300°K	Pucel Theory 300°K	Fukui Formula 300°K	Expt Pkg 20°K	Expt Chip 20°K	Pucel Theory 20°K
T _{min}	91 <u>+</u> 3	91±3	72	151	25±2	25±2	16
R _{opt}	20±2	63 ± 6	74	22	15±1	47±3	41
$x_{opt} = -X_{c}$	55±5	101±9	119	42	58±5	107±10	104
1/g _n	100±20	205±40	668	727	370±30	800±100	1800
R _n	34 <u>+</u> 8	69±18	29	3.1	10±2	17±3	6.9
rn	3.8±.6	15±3	8.2	-38	0.6±.2	2.6±1	0.9
R _c	-4±5	-31±15	8.1	167	0.9±4	-13±10	7.9

Measured and Theoretical 4.9 GHz Noise Parameters of Mitsubishi MGF 1412 at 300°K and 20°K

TABLE IV

Experimental and Theoretical Noise Coefficients of MGF 1412 at 300°K and 20°K

Qty	Expt 300°K	Theory 300°K	Expt 20°K	Theory 20°K
K g	0.89	0.27	0.33	0.15
K c	1.55	1.83	1.65	1.60
K r	0.36	.072	0.13	.03
Р	2.50	.98	1.02	0.403
R	0.64	. 26	0.27	0.082
С	0.893	0.961	0.917	0.935

doping density, N = 2.9 x 10^{17} , were determined from the DC measurements. The gate length, L = 0.7µm, was measured; this value is also reported in [34]. The material parameter values are those used in [28] (D = $35 \text{cm}^2/\text{sec}$, $\delta = 1.2$, $\text{E}_{\text{s}} = 2.9 \text{kv/cm}$, $\text{v}_{\text{s}} = 1.3 \times 10^7 \text{cm/sec}$, and $\mu_0 = 4500 \text{cm}^2/\text{V}$ -sec). The device was operated $\text{V}_{\text{ds}} = 5\text{v}$, $\text{I}_{\text{d}} = 10\text{mA}$, and $\text{V}_{\text{gs}} = -1.081$ at 300°K and -1.123 at 20°K ; these values gave minimum noise at both temperatures. It is, of course, the experimental values corrected to the chip reference plane which should be compared with theoretical results, which do not include package parasitics.

The last column of Table III gives the theoretical results of Pucel <u>et al</u>. modified in the following way for application at 20° K.

1) The thermal noise in the parasitic resistances, $R_m + R_s$, has been reduced by the factor t = 20/290 in (17). This reduces T_{min} from 72°K to 40°K.

2) The transconductance, g_m , has been replaced by the 20°K measured value (an increase of .043 to .062) and the saturation velocity, v_s , and saturation field, E_s , have been increased by 1.32 as deduced from the measured DC parameter changes. This further reduces T_{min} from 40°K to 26.5°K.

3) Thermal noise within the channel has been reduced by multiplying the Pucel factors P_o , R_o , and S_o used to calculate the K noise coefficients, by t; this brings T_{min} to 15.7°K.

4) As points of additional theoretical interest, if t is made equal to zero both for the external and internal thermal noise, T_{min} reduces from 15.7°K to 11.2°K; i.e., the theoretical coefficient of noise

- 34 -
temperature vs. physical temperature is 0.22° K per °K at cryogenic temperatures. Also, if D = 0 (no high-field diffusion noise) $T_{min} =$ 5.0°K. Thus at 20°K and at the experimental bias value which gives lowest noise temperature, approximately 1/3 of the total noise is contributed by each of the mechanisms of thermal, high-field diffusion, and hot-electron noise. This is conceptually only a rough approximation since the noise contributions to T_{min} are not additive; at each step above (i.e., t = 0 or D = 0) the source impedance has a new optimum which changes the contributions from remaining noise mechanisms. Perhaps a more meaningful comparison would be for T_n at a fixed source imimpedance.

Several authors [41, 42, 53] find the hot-electron noise coefficient, δ , to be a strong function of temperature but this is primarily because the non-thermal portion of the hot electron noise has been normalized to ambient temperature (see footnote 1). Our results suggest that a convenient form for the electron temperature, T_{ρ} , is:

$$T_{\rho} = T_{\rho}[t + f(E)]$$
(26)

where $T_0 \equiv 290^{\circ}K$ (and cancels out in the noise figure expression) and f(E) is the non-thermal noise dependent upon electric field but only weakly dependent upon temperature. For the last column of Table III, $f(E) = \delta (E/E_s)^3$ has been used with $\delta = 1.2$ and $E_s = 3800$ volts/cm (compared to $E_s = 2900$ volts/cm at 300°K). A better fit to experimental data would be achieved if either δ were higher or E_s lower at 20°K. Experimental evidence for the increase of f(E) by a factor of ~ 2.5 at cryogenic temperatures is contained in our own unpublished measurements on

- 35 -

millimeter-wave GaAs mixer diodes and also in the measurements of Keen [55].

Further insight into the noise temperature limitation at cryogenic temperatures can be gained by examining an approximate form of the minimum noise temperature equation,

$$T_{\min} = 2T_{o} \cdot \sqrt{K_{g}} \cdot \omega C_{gs} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{t(R_{m} + R_{s})}{g_{m}} + \frac{K_{r}}{g_{m}^{2}}}$$
(26)

which is valid for $K_g R_c^2 / X_{gs}^2 << t(R_m + R_s)g_m + K_r$; this approximation produces ~10% error for the MGF 1412 data. At room temperature the first term under the large radical is dominant and K_r is not important; the noise voltage generator in the input circuit is dominated by the thermal noise of $R_m + R_s$. At cryogenic temperatures where t + 0 the second term, K_r / g_m^2 , becomes dominant (even though g_m has increased) and the noise temperature is limited by the amount of non-thermal noise coupled into the gate circuit and uncorrelated * with the drain current noise. The coefficient, K_r , is proportional to $1 - C^2$ where C, the correlation * coefficient, is near 1 and thus small changes in C are likely to have large effects on the cryogenic noise temperature; this will not be true at room temperature. The larger variability of the cryogenic noise temperature from one device to another may be due to this effect.

The agreement between experimental results and the theory of Pucel, Haus, and Statz for values of T_{min} , R_{opt} , and X_{opt} is good at both 300°K and 20°K - especially if the error range for R_m is considered. Other samples of the MGF 1412 gave a lower noise temperature at 20°K (as low as 15°K) than the particular device which was evaluated in detail; this

- 36 -

would improve the agreement with theory. The agreement with the theory is marred by a factor of ~ 3 disagreement in the value of g_n . It is not known at present whether this is an experimental artifact or a failure of the theory. The value of g_n was determined from the noise temperature vs. source resistance characteristic but it was also checked, with good agreement, by measuring the noise-temperature bandwidth of the data of Fig. 10. The discrepancy in g_n leads to an even larger discrepancy in the correlation resistance, R_c , which depends, thru (12), on the difference between $1/g_n$ and R_{opt} . The negative value of R_c which results from the measurements is physically possible (it only means the input voltage and current noise sources have a negative real part in their correlation coefficient) but is certainly in disagreement with the theory.

The experimental values of the K coefficients in Table IV were obtained by solving (16) - (21) in terms of the measured noise parameters. The coefficients P, R, and C were then obtained by solving (23) thru (25) by an iteration method. The discrepancy between experimental and theoretical R_c and g_n further propagate into discrepancies in the noise coefficients. In addition, the experimental value of K_r at 300°K is subject to large error because the measured data is insensitive to K_r due to the dominance of thermal noise.

The agreement between the experimental results and the empirical equations of Fukui [44] is poor. This may be due to the fact that Fukui's equations are derived from measurements at 1.8 GHz where the low frequency noise generation mechanism has a large effect; thus the formula predicts a higher than observed noise temperature at 4.9 GHz. It may also be true

- 37 -

that some other variables in the transistor fabrication (such as the doping profile near the substrate interface or gate metallization thickness) effect the equations. It should be noted that the Fukui noise parameter equations require the values of g_m and C_{gs} at zero gate bias; thus the measured zero bias values of .098 mhos and 0.75 pf have been used in Table III.

VI. EXAMPLES OF CRYOGENIC GASFET AMPLIFIERS

Several models of GASFET amplifiers for the 5 GHz frequency range and for use at 20°K have been designed. All use 1.75 mm-square packaged GASFET's (usually the Mitsubishi MGF 1412) gold-plated copper (for thermal conductivity and solderability) metal parts (except for some brass contact tabs), and microstrip transmission lines with teflon-coated fibre-glass dielectric [45]. In order to avoid large thermal stresses and mechanical failures, tight and rigid connections are avoided. Metallized ceramic substrates are also avoided because of possible cracking of the ceramic or metal-film solder-joints after repeated temperature cycling.

All amplifiers utilize an external DC power regulator which automatically adjusts the gate voltage to maintain a set drain current. This requires one TL075BCM quad-operational amplifier chip per GASFET stage and provides buffered monitoring of V_{ds} , I_d , and V_{gs} . As shown in Fig. 6 bias protection circuitry is included in the microwave chassis. The IN821 voltage-reference zener-diode utilized for gate protection contains a diode which prevents forward conduction of the zener diode and thus allows the GASFET gate to be forward biased for testing; the zener-diode limits negative gate bias to approximately -6 volts. Both the IN821 and IN4099 diodes have sharper zener characteristics at 20°K than at 300°K.

A. Single-Stage Basic Amplifier

A single-stage amplifier, for use as a second-stage following a cooled-paramp first-stage, was required for the front-ends of the Very

- 39 -

Large Array radio telescope [46]. The unit need not be optimized for minimum noise as the first stage gain is 15dB. However, it must have a gain which is flat within ± 0.5 dB over the 4.5 to 5 GHz range, an output return loss ≥ 10 dB, and must be highly reliable since 54 units are required in the 27-element, dual-polarization array. An input match is not required since the input will be connected thru a short cable to the 5-port circulator of the paramp.

A photograph of the amplifier and some of the key parts is shown in Fig. 12. Utilizing a thermostatically-controlled hot plate, joints are soldered as follows: a) GASFET source leads to mounting stud with pure indium solder [47] (for good thermal conductivity) and a flux [48], b) chip capacitors to chassis with silver-alloy flux-core solder [49], and c) zener-diodes and connector ground with low-temperature solder [50]. Other components are then soldered to the chip-capacitors with silveralloy solder and a small soldering iron.

The input and output $\lambda/4$ transformers are 4.1mm wide x 11.2mm long x 0.75mm thick microwave circuit board [45] and are held in place through slotted holes with 2-56 nylon screws. The slotted holes allow the transformer position relative to the GASFET to be adjusted to tune the center frequency of operation. Brass tabs under each nylon screw make connections to the SMA input and output connectors and also to the GASFET gate and source leads. Two layers of .02mm thick polyester tape [51] are placed between each connector tab and the transmission line to form a DC blocking capacitor. Bias voltage for both gate and drain is fed through coils formed of 3 turns of 0.2mm diameter phosphor-bronze

- 40 -



Fig. 12 - Single-stage basic amplifier; scale on photograph is in units of mm. See Fig. 6 for schematic, Fig. 14 for close-up of transistor mounting stud, and text for construction details.



Fig. 13 - Noise-temperature, gain, and output return loss for singlestage basic amplifier at a temperature of 20°K.

wire wound with 1.25mm inner diameter; these have high impedance relative to the circuit and are not critical.

The completed amplifier is tuned by sliding the transformers and slightly bending gate and drain leads. The drain is tuned for maximum output return loss (>20dB) at band center and the gate is tuned for flatgain. This will result in a minimum noise frequency, f_{min} , 600 MHz above the gain center frequency, f_o , as shown in Fig. 13. This offset is predicted by the noise theory. For a source impedance consisting of an inductor in series with the source resistance, $f_o/f_p = \sqrt{K_c}$, assuming the drain circuit is broad-band compared to the gate circuit. (Neither of these assumptions is quite true for this amplifier.) This offset may be removed with source-inductance feedback as is described next.

B. Single-Stage Feedback Amplifier

A small modification of the previously described amplifier results in a unit with little frequency offset between peak gain and minimum noise temperature. The modification, described in Fig. 14, is to increase the source lead inductance by widening the GASFET mounting stud and also bending small loops in the source leads. This change has little direct effect upon noise temperature but the input circuit can now be tuned to a lower resonant frequency (4.25 GHz with $V_d = 0$, 4.70 GHz with $V_d = 4.4$) giving both optimum noise and maximum gain at ~4.75 GHz. The gain and noise temperature of this amplifier, cascaded with the amplifier of the previous section, is shown in Fig. 15; cooled isolators are included at the input and between the two amplifiers. Output return loss is >10dB from 4.5 to 5 GHz without an output isolator.

- 42 -



Fig. 14 - Transistor mounting studs for feedback (left) and basic (right) amplifiers. Scale is in mm.



Fig. 15 - Gain and noise temperature of cascade of isolator, feedback amplifier, isolator, and basic amplifier, all at 12°K. Noise temperature is 20°K over a 500 MHz bandwidth and <25°K over a 800 MHz frequency range. Output return loss is >10db from 4.5 to 5.1 GHz.

1-

The use of source lead inductance to improve input match for a ~ 1.5 GHz amplifier has been previously described [5 , 52]. At 5 GHz the situation is more complex because of effects of gate to drain capacitance and increased sensitivity of noise temperature to the reactance of the driving source. The FET source lead inductance, L_s , produces an effective impedance, z_{FB} , added in series with the input to the gate,

$$z_{FB} = \frac{g_{m}^{L}S}{C_{gs}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + y_{d}^{/}y_{1}}$$
(27)

where y_d is the transistor output admittance for $g_m = 0$ and y_1 is the load admittance presented to the transistor. For the amplifier under consideration y_1 is adjusted so z_{FB} is primarily capacitive, the input resonant frequency is increased, and the noise-gain frequency offset is reduced to zero. At this value of y_1 the output is also matched (but $y_1 \neq y_d^*$ since y_d is for $g_m = 0$) but the input is not matched. In the next section a two-stage amplifier, matched by feedback, will be described.

C. Two-Stage, Feedback, Narrow-Band, Amplifier

An amplifier was constructed by combining in one case the singlestage feedback amplifier and the single-stage basic amplifier previously described. (With the exception that input and output $\lambda/4$ transformer width was 6mm; interstage $\lambda/2$ line was 4.1mm wide.) The amplifier was then tuned at the desired frequency of operation, 5.0 GHz, for minimum noise by adjusting input inductance and for maximum input return loss by adjustment of first-stage source lead inductance and drain inductance. In this case, the feedback is used to achieve input match, z_{FB} is primarily resistive, and little attention was paid to the gain vs. frequency response as the application was narrow-band. The resulting gain, noise temperature, and input return loss at 300°K and 20°K are shown in Fig. 16. The results are flawed by the decrease in input return loss at 20°K; this is probably due to the change in g_m effecting z_{FB} . Another version of this amplifier was used with an input cooled-isolator to achieve input match and a noise temperature of 17°K at 5.0 GHz.

It is particularly desirable for cryogenic GASFET amplifiers to achieve match by feedback (or perhaps by a balanced configuration) since ferrite devices usually do not function well at both room and cryogenic temperatures. Our goal has been to construct an amplifier which is wideband, matched, performs well at both room and cryogenic temperatures, and hence does not utilize ferrite isolators. All of the above characteristics have not yet been achieved in a single unit; work will continue in this direction.



Fig. 16 - Noise temperature, gain, and input return loss for two-stage, feedback narrow-band amplifier described in VI(C) at 20°K and 300°K. Output return loss at 5.0 GHz was 17db at 20°K and 25db at 300°K.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

All of the questions posed in the introduction have not been answered and in some cases the answers are "hints" based on insufficient data; more work, both experimental and theoretical, is needed. Some conclusions that may be ventured are as follows:

A. Thermal

1) At temperatures above 15°K there is no fundamental problem in the cooling of a FET but attention must be paid to the fact that materials have vastly different thermal conductivity at cryogenic temperatures. In particular alumina, Kovar, and epoxy (whether silverloaded or not) become near-insulators.

2) At temperatures below 15°K the thermal conductivity between the FET channel and the chip is greatly reduced due to boundary scattering of phonons and little can be done to alleviate this problem.

B. DC Characteristics and Material Properties

1) Changes in pinch-off voltage, barrier potential, and linear resistances within the FET have been found, experimentally, to be small (see Fig. 3 and Table II) in the range 300°K to 20°K. This implies that the changes in carrier density and mobility are small.

As the temperature was reduced from 300°K to 20°K, saturation current increased by 30% to 50% for the FETs of 3 manufacturers (see Fig. 3). This implies that the saturation velocity increases as the device is cooled; the differences between devices are due to either different doping density or some other constituent of the GaAs.

- 47 -

For the same 3 devices the output resistance decreased from
 30% to 200% upon cooling; this has not been explained.

C. Small-Signal Characteristics

Transconductance increased by the same factor as saturation current and this causes an increase in RF gain that is somewhat smaller due to negative feedback and a concurrent decrease in output resistance. There is little other change in the gain vs. frequency characteristic (see Fig. 9).

D. Noise

 The noise temperature improvement factor for cooling from 300°K to 20°K varies from 3 to 5 for the 6 types of transistors tested at 5 GHz (see Fig. 8). It is not known why the improvement factor varies; more detailed investigation is needed of devices with small improvement factors. The variation may be due to the stronger dependence of noise temperature upon the gate-drain noise correlation coefficient, C, at cryogenic temperatures.

2) The results for one device, the Mitsubishi MGF 1412, correlate fairly well with the noise theory of Pucel, Haus, and Statz [28] both at 300°K and 20°K. The noise improvement is due to the reduction in thermal noise of the channel and parasitic resistances and the increase in transconductance. The non-thermal noise power (hot-electron and high-field diffusion noise) may remain constant or increase at cryogenic temperature; the experimental data is of insufficient accuracy and content to make this judgement. A study of noise variation with bias has not been performed and would be a valuable test of the theory.

- 48 -

E. Amplifiers

1) An amplifier has been constructed with performance close to that of the best cooled paramps at 5 GHz (see Fig. 15).

2) It appears feasible, at least for narrow-bandwidths ($\sim 2\%$) at 5 GHz, to design unbalanced amplifiers which are matched by feedback rather than ferrite devices and operate well at all temperatures from 300°K to 20°K (see Fig. 16).

3) The reliability of amplifiers constructed with the techniques described in VI has been excellent. At the time of this writing, 15 of the basic amplifiers have been constructed and subjected to a total of over forty 300°K to 20°K temperature cycles with no failures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to thank C. R. Pace for assistance with the construction and measurements, J. Granlund for the solution of the non-linear differential equation for the forward-biased gate and for finding the transistor equivalent circuit elements from S-parameter measurements, and T. Brookes for several helpful discussions and the program for calculating results of the Pucel, et al noise theory. A. R. Kerr suggested the cooled-attenuator noise measurement procedure and the modification of the attenuator contact. I appreciate the S-parameter measurements performed by R. Hamilton of Avantek, Inc., and R. Lane of California Eastern Laboratories.

REFERENCES

- [1] <u>Diode and Transistor Designer's Catalog</u>, <u>1980</u>, Hewlett Packard Co., Palo Alto, Ca.
- [2] <u>NEC Microwave Transistor Designer's Guide</u>, California Eastern Laboratories, Santa Clara, Ca.
- [3] Data Sheets, LNR Communications, Hauppauge, N.Y.
- [4] D. M. Burns, "The 600 MHz Noise Performance of GaAs Mesfet's at Room Temperature and Below," M. S. Thesis, E.E. Dept., U. of Cal., Berkeley, Ca., Dec. 1978.
- [5] D. Williams, S. Weinreb, and W. Lum, "L-Band Cryogenic GaAs FET Amplifier," to be published.
- [6] C.A. Liechti and R. A. Larrick, "Performance of GaAs Mesfet's at Low Temperatures," <u>Trans. Microwave</u> <u>Theory</u> <u>Tech.</u>, vol. MTT-24, pp. 376 -381, 1976.
- [7] J. Pierro and K. Louie, "Low Temperature Performance of GaAs Mesfet's at L-Band," <u>1979 Int. Microwave Symp. Digest</u>, Orlando, Fl., IEEE Cat. No. 79CH1439-9, pp. 28-30.
- [8] R. E. Miller, T. G. Phillips, D. E. Iglesias and R. H. Knerr, "Noise Performance of Microwave GaAs F.E.T. Amplifiers at Low Temperatures," <u>Electronic Letters</u>, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 10-11, January 6, 1977.
- [9] J. D. Kraus, Radio Astronomy, New York: McGraw Hill, p. 237.
- [10] Model 21 Cryodyne, CTI-Cryogenics Inc., Waltham, Mass., 02154
- [11] H. F. Cooke, "Fets and Bipolars Differ When the Gains Gets Hot," <u>Micro-waves</u>, pp. 55-60, Feb 1978. Also printed as <u>High-Frequency Transistor</u> Primer, Part III, Thermal Properties, Avantak Corp., Santa Clara, Ca.
- [12] M. G. Holland, "Phonon Scattering in Semiconductors from Thermal Conductivity Studies," <u>Phy. Rev.</u>, vol. 134, pp. A471-A480, April 20, 1964.
- [13] <u>American Inst. of Physics Handbook</u>, Third Edition, New York: McGraw Hill, 1972.
- [14] <u>Thermal Conductivity of Solids at Room Temp. and Below</u>., Monograph 131, Nat. Bureau of Stds, Boulder, Co.
- [15] J. Calloway, "Model for Lattice Conductivity at Low Temperatures," Phy. Rev., vol. 113, pp. 1046-1051, Feb. 15, 1959.

- [16] C. L. Reynolds and A. C. Anderson, "Thermal Conductivity of an Electrically Conducting Epoxy Below 3K," <u>Rev. of Sci. Instrum.</u>, vol. 48, no. 12, p. 1715, Dec. 1977.
- [17] C. Kittel, <u>Intro. to Solid State Physics</u>, 5th Edition, Wiley, New York, 1976.
- [18] H. Fukui, "Determination of the Basic Device Parameters of a GaAs Mesfet," BSTJ, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 771-797, March 1979.
- [19] R. Hackam and P. Harrop, "Electrical Properties of Nickel-Low Doped In-Type Gallium Arsenide Schottky Barrier Diodes," <u>IEEE Trans. on Electron</u> Dev., vol. ED-19, no. 12, pp. 1231-1238, December 1972.
- [20] D. Vizard, "Cryogenic DC Characteristics of Millimeter-Wavelength Schottky Barrier Diodes," unpublished work, Appelton Laboratory, Slough, U.K.
- [21] F. A. Padovani and R. Stratton, "Field and Thermionic-Field Emission in Schottky Barriers," <u>Solid State Electronics</u>, vol. 9, pp. 695-707, 1966.
- [22] T. Viola, and R. Mattauch, "High-Frequency Noise in Schottky Barrier Diodes," <u>Report No. EE-4734-101-73J</u>, Res. Labs for Eng. Sciences, Univ. of Va., Charlottesville, Va., March 1973.
- [23] M. Giterman, L. Krol, V. Medvedev, M. Orlova, and G. Pado, "Impurity-Band Conduction in n-GaAs," <u>Soviet</u> <u>Physics of the Solid State</u>, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 1017-1018, Nov. 1962.
- [24] O. Emel'yanenko, T. Tagunova, and D. Naselov, "Impurity Zones in P- and N-Type Gallium Arsenide Crystals," <u>Soviet Physics - Solid State</u>, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 144-147, July 1961.
- [25] P. Wolf, "Microwave Properties of Schottky-Barrier Field-Effect Transistors," IBM J. Res. Develop., vol. 14, pp. 125-141, March 1970.
- [26] A. Nara, Mitsubishi Semiconductor Laboratory, Hyogo, Japan, Private Communication.
- [27] J. Ruch and G. Kino, "Transport Properties of GaAs," Phy. <u>Rev.</u>, vol. 174, no. 3, pp. 921-927, October 15, 1968.
- [28] R. Pucel, H. Haus, and H. Statz, "Signal and Noise Properties of Gallium Arsenide Microwave Field-Effect Transistors," <u>Adv. in Electronics and</u> <u>Electron Physics</u>, vol. 38, L. Morton, editor, New York: Academic Press, 1975.
- [29] Model 350 Cryodyne, CTI-Cryogenics, Inc., Waltham, Ma.

- [30] A. R. Kerr, Goddard Inst. for Space Studies, New York, N.Y., Private Communication.
- [31] Type 2156 Bellows, Servometer Corp., Cedar Grove, N.J., 07009.
- [32] Type DT-500-CV-DRC, Lake Shore Cryotronics, Westerville, Ohio.
- [33] M. Schneider, "Microstrip Lines for Microwave Integrated Circuits," BSTJ, Vol. 48, pp. 1421-1444, May 1969.
- [34] T. Suzuki, A. Nara, M. Nakatoni, T. Ishii, "Highly Reliable GaAs Mesfets with a Static Mean NF_{min} of 0.89dB and a Standard Deviation of .07dB at 4 GHz," <u>IEEE Trans. Microwave</u> <u>Th. and Tech.</u>, vol. MTT-27 mo. 12, pp. 1070-1074, December 1979.
- [35] Model 75D, Boonton Electronics, Parsippany, N.J., 07054.
- [36] R. Lane, California Eastern Laboratories, Santa Clara, Ca., Private Communication.
- [37] R. Hamilton, Avantek Corp., Santa Clara, Ca., Private Communication.
- [38] A. van der Ziel, "Thermal Noise in Field-Effect Transistors," <u>Proc. IRE</u>, vol. 50, pp. 1808-1812, 1962.
- [39] W. Baechtold, "Noise Behavior of GaAs Field-Effect Transistors with Short Gate Lengths," <u>IEEE Trans. Electron Dev.</u>, vol. ED-19, pp. 674-680, May 1972.
- [40] NE244 Data Sheet, California Eastern Labs, Santa Clara, Ca.
- [41] K. Takagi, and A. van der Ziel, "High Frequency Excess Noise and Flicker Noise in GaAs FET's," <u>Solid State Electronics</u>, vol. 22, pp. 285-287, 1979.
- [42] J. Graffeuil, "Static, Dynamic, and Noise Properties of GaAs Mesfets," Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France.
- [43] H. Rothe, and W. Dahlke, "Theory of Noisy Fourpoles," <u>Proc.</u> IRE, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 811-818, June 1956.
- [44] H. Fukui, "Design of Microwave GaAs Mesfet's for Broad-Band Low-Noise Amplifiers," <u>IEEE Trans. on Microwave Th. and Tech.</u>, vol. MTT-27, no. 7, pp. 643-650.
- [45] Type D-5880 RT/Duroid, .031" dielectric, 1 oz. 2 side copper, Rogers Corp., Chandler, Az., 85224.

- [46] S. Weinreb, M. Balister, S. Maas, and P. J. Napier, "Multiband Low-Noise Receivers for a Very Large Array," <u>IEEE Trans. on Microwave Th.</u> <u>and Tech.</u>, vol. MTT-25, no. 4, pp. 243-248.
- [47] Indalloy No. 4 Solder, 100% Indium, 157°C, Indium Corp. of America, Utica, N.Y., 13503.
- [48] #30 Supersafe Flux (water soluable), Superior Flux and Mfg. Co., Cleveland, Ohio.
- [49] SN62 Solder, 62% Tin, 36% Lead, 2% Silver, 179°C, Multicore Solders, Westbury, N.Y.
- [50] 20E2 Solder, 100°C, Alpha Metals, Jersey City, N.J., 07304.
- [51] #74 Polyester Electrical Tape, 3M Co., Minneapolis, Minn.
- [52] L. Nevin and R. Wong, "L-Band GaAs FET Amplifier," <u>Microwave Journal</u>, vol. 22, no. 4, p. 82, April 1979.
- [53] J. Frey, "Effects of Intervalley Scattering on Noise in GaAs and InP Field Effect Transistors," <u>IEEE Trans. on Electron Dev.</u>, vol. ED-23, no. 12, pp. 1298-1303, December 1976.
- [54] J. Granlund, National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Charlottesville, Va., unpublished work.
- [55] N. J. Keen, Max-Planck-Institute for Radio Astronomy, Bonn, W. Germany, "The Role of the Undepleted Epitaxial Layer in Low Noise Schottky Barrier Diodes For Millimeter Wave Mixers," to be published.
- [56] S. Sesnic and G. Craig, "Thermal Effects in JFET and MOSFET Devices at Cryogenic Temperatures," <u>IEEE Trans. on Electron Dev.</u>, vol. ED-19, no. 8, pp. 933-942.
- [57] D. Brunet-Brunol, "Etude et Réalisation D'Amplificateur a Transistor a Effet de Champ a L'AsGa Refroidi a Trés Basse Température", <u>Revue de</u> <u>Physique Appliquée</u>, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 180-187, April 1978.