The M31–M33 "Bridge"

F.J. Lockman, N. Free, J. Shields (in press)

But first, an example of accretion of gas by the Milky Way, then considerations of the detectability of faint HI lines



The "Smith" High-velocity Cloud

An HVC being absorbed by the Milky Way



dist = 12.4 \pm 1.3 kpc R = 7.6 \pm 1.0 kpc z = -2.2 kpc M_{HI} > 10⁶ M_☉ M_{H+} \approx 3x10⁶ M_☉ size \approx 3 x 1 kpc [N\H] = 0.14 - 0.44

V_{t0t}≈300 km/s Will impact the disk in 30 Myr

Lockman et al. 2008 Hill et al 2009



Practical issues when observing the 21cm line in emission

time for a 3σ detection

$$t = 1.6 \times 10^{-2} f^{-2} \Omega^{-2} N_{\text{HI20}}^{-2} \text{ (s)}$$

f<1 is surface brightness efficiency $\Omega \leq 1$ is beam dilution

Assumptions:T<<1</td>Tsys = 20 K5 km/s channel width3σ detectionΔV=25 km/sno bandpass noise or other issues



Surface brightness efficiency factors

Instrument	f
GBT	~
Arecibo	~
EVLA-D	~10-2
EVLA-C	~10-3
EVLA-B	~10-4
ATA	~10-2
ASKAP	~10-3

$$t = 1.6 \times 10^{-2} f^{-2} \Omega^{-2} N_{\text{HI20}}^{-2} \text{ (s)}$$



Deep 21cm Surveys (therefore are all done with single dishes)

from Popping (2010)

H I SURVEYS

15

Survey	Beam	Area	δν	rms(Flux) ^a	$rms(N_{HI})^b$	Ref	4σ <
	[']	[deg ²]	[km s ⁻¹]				<u>+0</u>
AHISS	3.3	13	16	0.7	3.5e17	С	
ADBS	3.3	430	34	3.3	1.7e18	d	
WSRT WVF	49	1800	17	18	4.1e16	e	
Nancay CVn	4×20	800	10	7.5	5.2e17	f	
HIPASS	15.5	30000	18	13	3.0e17	8	
HI-ZOA	15.5	1840	18	13	3.0e17	h	
HIDEEP	15.5	32	18	3.2	7.4e16	i	
HIJASS	12	1115	18	13	5.0e17	j	
J-Virgo	12	32	18	4	1.5e17	k	←
AGES	3.5	200	11	0.7	3.2e17	1	
ALFALFA	3.5	7074	11	1.7	7.7e17	m	

Table 1.1: Comparison of Blind H1 Surveys: (*a*): mJy beam⁻¹ at 18 km s⁻¹, (*b*): cm⁻² over 18 km s⁻¹, (*c*): Zwaan et al. (1997), (*d*): Rosenberg & Schneider (2000), (*e*): Braun et al. (2003), (*f*): Kraan-Korteweg et al. (1999), (*g*): Barnes et al. (2001), (*h*): Henning et al. (2000), (*i*): Minchin et al. (2003), (*j*): Lang et al. (2003), (*k*): Davies et al. (2004), (*l*): Minchin et al. (2007), (*m*): Giovanelli et al. (2007)



The ragged edges of HI disks

HALOGAS WSRT Survey -- Heald et al (2011) 15" resolution to N_{HI} limit few 10¹⁹ 120 hours per galaxy $N_{HI} = 10^{19}$ $T_b = 0.2 \text{ K}$ $t \approx 2 \text{ f}^{-2} \text{ s}$

G. Heald et al.: The WSRT HALOGAS survey. I.



Fig. 1. Overview of the HALOGAS observations of UGC 2082. The *left panel* shows the HI total intensity overlaid on the DSS *R*-band image. The HI contours originate from the 30"-tapered image, begin at $N_{\rm HI} = 1.0 \times 10^{19} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ and increase by powers of two. The straight line shows the orientation of the PV slice shown in Fig. 2. The *right panel* shows an overlay of several channels in the lowest resolution data cube, all at a level of 0.9 mJy beam⁻¹ ($\approx 3.75\sigma$). The contours are separated by 12.4 km s⁻¹, begin at 593 km s⁻¹ (dark blue) and range upward to 815 km s⁻¹ (dark red). Both panels show the same area of the sky. The beam size of the HI data is shown in the *lower left* corners of the *left panel*.

HVCs around other galaxies



Fig. 2.— Total column density for discrete and diffuse high-velocity H I in the M31 GBT field, after masking emission from Andromeda's inclined, rotating disk. Contours were evaluated at $(3 \text{ kpc}, 72 \text{ km s}^{-1})$ resolution and rendered at 0.5, 1, 2, 10, and $20 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, then overlaid

7

 $N_{\rm HI} = 10^{18.5}$



$$\begin{split} N_{HI} &= 10^{17} \\ T_b {=} 2 \ mK \\ t \approx \! 16 \ 000 \ f^{-2} \ s \end{split}$$

Braun & Thilker (2006) using WSRT as single dishes 49' Resolution 16 km/s channels

Fig. 9. Integrated H1 emission from the subset of detected features apparently associated with M 31 and M 33. The grey-scale varies between $\log(N_{\rm HI}) = 17-18$, for $N_{\rm HI}$ in units of cm⁻². Contours are drawn at $\log(N_{\rm HI}) = 17$, 17.5, 18, ... 20.5.



GBT Observations of the M31-M33 stream







GBT Observations of the M31-M33 stream



	Table	1. 5σ Detection Limits ¹	
Data Set	N_{HI}	${ m M_{HI}}^2$	
	(cm^{-2})	$({ m M}_{\odot})$	
Мар	$1.5 imes 10^{18}$	$4.2 imes 10^4$	
Follow Up	5.0×10^{17}	1.4×10^4	
Deep Pointings	$1.0 - 1.4 imes 10^{17}$	$2.8-4.1\times10^3$	

¹For a line width of 25 km s⁻¹ (FWHM).

 $^2\mathrm{Mass}$ of H I within a single GBT beam at 0.8 Mpc distance.





Table 2. Observations of M31 Satellites

J2000 (hh:mm:ss.s dd:mm)	$V_{\rm LSR}$ (km s ⁻¹)	V_{LGSR} (km s ⁻¹)	$\sigma_{\rm b}{}^1$ (mK)	$ m N_{HI}~^2$ (10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻²)	M _{HI} (10 ³ M _☉)	Object	Ref
01:14:18.7 +38:07	-322 (1.4)	-79 (13)	4.4	< 3.6	< 9.3	And XV	1
01:16:29.8 + 33:25	-187(3.0)	+46(14)	9.4	< 7.7	< 14	And II	1

References. — (1) Tollerud et al. (2011); (2) Côté et al. (1999).

 $^1\mathrm{Noise}$ in a 3.2 km s^{-1} channel

 $^2\mathrm{For}$ a 25 km s $^{-1}$ line width.



. . . .

GBT Observations of the M31-M33 stream





Detected lines: VLGSR vs RA



The new detections lie between the position and velocity of M31 and M33, and confirm the existence of the "bridge"



Detected lines: VLGSR vs angle from M31





J2000 (hh:mmss.s dd:mm) (1)	T _L (mK) (2)	FWHM (km s ⁻¹) (3)	V _{LSR} (km s ⁻¹) (4)	σ _b ¹ (mK) (5)	$N_{\rm HI}$ (10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻²) (6)	V_{LGSR} (km s ⁻¹) (7)	Notes (8)
01:00:00.0 + 39:30 01:03:21.9 + 40:33 01:08:32.5 + 37:46	4.4(0.4) 86 (4) 106 (3)	34.4(4.0) 24.1 (1.2) 38.0 (1.2)	-262.4(1.7) -430 (0.5) -278 (0.5)	1.3 8.7 8.6	2.9(0.2) 40 (1) 78 (1)	-9 (13) -177 (13) -32 (13.5)	Deep Map ² Map
01:20:00.0 +36:00	≤ 9 6 1 (0 0)	an a (a d).	0.05.1 (1.5)	1.8	≤1.5		Deep
01:20:28.3 + 37:22 01:20:48.5 + 37:15	6.1 (0.9) 75 (4)	20.8 (3.6) 23.3 (1.3)	-235.1 (1.5) -239 (0.6)	7.2	2.5 (0.2) 34 (1)	+4 (14) -0.3 (13)	Deep Мар
00:42:44.3 + 41:16 01:33:50.9 + 30:39			-296 (4) -180 (3)			-34 (16) +37 (13)	M31 M33

Table 3. Summary of Measurements of the M31-M33 Bridge

Note. — Uncertainties are 1σ, limits are 5σ. Values for M31 and M33 were taken from NED: http://ned.ipac.caltech.edu.



×.

۰.

.

GBT spectrum of the M31-M33 stream



NRAC

15

- The challenge of studies at $N_{HI}=10^{17}$ What's out there?
 - Signals are very weak, and yet likely extended
 - Possible with current instruments, it just takes time
- Near term
 - Better receivers -- Tsys=10 K?
 - Phased array feed receivers -- still not competitive, but...
 - EVLA-E -- f≈0.25
- Medium Term
 - FAST
- Long term ????
 - SKA -- HPBW=2.5' reaches 1.6 mK over 25 km/s in 1 hour
 - (but GBT at 9' now reaches 0.7 mK over 25 km/s in 1 hr)

The GBT is the instrument of choice for the foreseeable future!

